

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/801,310	FRENKEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) S. Peter Ludwig.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 14, 15, 21, 37-40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

J. B. Corrielus 10.31.05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 3, 14, 15, and 21 to correct for some minor informalities. Applicant's rep. also agrees to amend claims 37 and 39 to include the limitations of claims 38 and 40 respectively, to avoid a potential claim rejection as the claim (claim 37 for instance) recites only a single element that can be easily rejected using the IEEE Publication dated, March 1993, submitted by applicant or the US reference to Snell .